



DATE

Evaluation of SERA Transnational meeting (Place)

1. To what extent did the meeting meet your expectations?

+++

++

+

-

--

2. How would you rate the overall organization and concept of the meeting (e.g. presentations, debates, technical supports, ...)

+++

++

+

-

--

3. To what extent do you feel you have a clear understanding of the project's aims, objectives and activities?

+++

++

+

-

--



4. On your opinion, are the objectives realizable within the framework of this project?

+++

++

+

-

--

5. To what extent did the last meeting contribute to the aims and objectives of the project?

+++

++

+

-

--

6. Is there a reasonable set of instruments proposed for the process?

+++

++

+

-

--



7. To what extent do you feel your opinions were heard and taken into account when discussing the project' contain (aims, objectives, activities, organization)?

+++

++

+

-

--

8. Did the meeting contribute to a better understanding of policy, decision making and organization related to radicalization (in prison)?

+++

++

+

-

--

9. To what extent did the meeting lead you to discover innovative approaches and/or inspiring practices as to policy, decision making and organizing related to radicalization (in prison) in the partner country hosting this meeting?

+++

++

+

-

--



10. To what extent have you reached a better understanding of the main challenges in the field of radicalization (in prison)?

+++

++

+

-

--

11. Achievement of overall meeting objectives: Developing the project and planning next steps

+++

++

+

-

--

12. Clarification of roles: Did the meeting contribute to clarifying your role?

+++

++

+

-

--



13. Clarification of next steps: Are you clear about the tasks that have to be fulfilled by you in the next period (before next meeting)?

+++

++

+

-

--

14. Structure, content and delivery of the meeting: Was selection of topics address in this meeting good?

+++

++

+

-

--

15. Structure, content and delivery of the meeting: Appropriateness of agenda, clear relations to project aims

+++

++

+

-

--



16. Structure, content and delivery of the meeting: Quality of documents and working materials

+++

++

+

-

--

17. Structure, content and delivery of the meeting: Balance of the meeting programme (duration, work, social activities)

+++

++

+

-

--

18. Quality of transnational cooperation: Extent to which each partner contributes to the meeting

+++

++

+

-

--



19. Quality of transnational cooperation: Mutual understanding amongst partners about the project

+++

++

+

-

--

20. Quality of transnational cooperation: Level of satisfaction with working language

+++

++

+

-

--

21. Overall satisfaction with the meeting

+++

++

+

-

--



22. Level of the practical arrangements (meeting venue, accommodation, meals)

+++

++

+

-

--

OTHER COMMENTS

1. Is there anything you would have wanted more of? If YES, please specify.

(max. 250 characters)

2. Is there anything you would have wanted less of? If YES, please specify.

(max. 250 characters)

3. Have you any recommendations? If YES, please specify.

(max. 250 characters)

Thank you very much for your contribution!